

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,896	08/31/2001	Kevin P. Baker	P2548P1C19	5992
28457 7.	590 03/24/2003			
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1039 CHICAGO, IL			O HARA, EILEEN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	1646	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/944,896	BAKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eileen O'Hara	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-41</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
<u> </u>) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>22-41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	or election requirement.					
••						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 22-41 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1-21 have been canceled and claims 22-41 added as requested by Applicant in Paper Number 4, filed August 31, 2001.

Specification

- 2.1 The disclosure is objected to because it contains embedded hyperlinks and/or other form of browser-executable code. See page 25, line 10, page 27, line 31 and page 94, line 32.

 Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.
- The disclosure is also objected to because the tables are not labeled consecutively. The first table is Table 6 on page, 61, then tables 7-10 on pages 85, 96, 122 and 137, and then the last two tables are Tables 23 and 24 on pages 139 and 142. The tables should be renumbered 1-7, and the specification amended so that references to these tables are corrected.

Formal Matters

3. The deposit of biological organisms is considered by the Examiner to be necessary for enablement of the current invention (see MPEP Chapter 2400 and 37 C.F.R."1.801-1.809). Examiner acknowledges the deposit of organisms under accession number ATCC 209532 under terms of the Budapest Treaty on International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure in compliance with this requirement (see specification, page 148).

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 and § 112

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 22-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility. Claims 22-41 are directed to polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 49, encoding the protein of SEQ ID NO: 50, identified as PRO347. The instant specification discloses that PRO347 is a 455 amino acid protein, and is presumably a membrane-bound protein with a signal sequence from amino acids 1-26, extracellular domain from amino acids 1-109, and transmembrane domain from amino acids 110-124. The specification teaches that PRO347 has significant sequence homology to the cysteine-rich secretory protein-3. However, the nucleic acid and encoded protein do not have any specific and substantial utility, or a well established utility, as determined according to the current Utility Examination Guidelines, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1092-1099, Friday, January 5, 2001.

The claims are directed to isolated nucleic acid having at least 80% nucleic acid sequence identity to a nucleic acid sequence encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 50, with or without its signal peptide, or to the extracellular domain of SEQ ID NO: 2 with or without its signal peptide, or the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49. Dependent claims are directed to vectors and host cells comprising the isolated nucleic acids. The specification contains numerous asserted utilities at pages 69-89 including use as hybridization probes, in chromosome and gene mapping, in the generation of anti-sense RNA and DNA, to identify molecules that bind to PRO (including

Art Unit: 1646

agonists and antagonists), to make "knock-out" mice or other animals, in gene therapy, as molecular weight markers, therapeutic agents, and for the production of antibodies. The utilities that pertain solely to nucleic acids (e.g. hybridization, chromosome and gene mapping, antisense) would not convey to the encoded protein. With respect to the remaining utilities, none of these asserted utilities is specific for the disclosed PRO347 protein, as each of the aforementioned utilities could be asserted for any naturally occurring protein, and further, as none of the asserted utilities requires any feature or activity that is specific to the disclosed PRO347.

The specification teaches that PRO347 has (unspecified) homology to cysteine-rich secretory protein-3. At pages 5, 12, 57 and 80, the specification states that PRO347 is a newly identified cysteine-rich secretory protein-3 homolog, and possesses activity typical of that protein, however no activity is known or disclosed for cysteine-rich secretory protein-3. The amino acid domains of the putative PRO347 peptide is shown in Figure 20 of the specification, in which signal sequence, extracellular and transmembrane domains are identified, however there is no disclosure that the protein is expected to be a transmembrane protein other than identification of a transmembrane domain in Figure 20. There is no biological activity, expression pattern, phenotype, disease or condition, ligand, binding partner, or any other specific feature that is disclosed as being associated with PRO347. Without any information as to the specific properties of PRO347, the mere identification of such as having significant sequence homology to cysteine-rich secretory protein-3 is not sufficient to impart any particular utility to the claimed polypeptides or encoding nucleic acids.

Art Unit: 1646

The specification at pages 119-137 describes experiments in which PRO347 encoding genes (as well as PRO327, PRO344, PRO357 and PRO715) are asserted to be amplified in the genome of certain human lung, colon and/or breast cancers and/or cell lines. At pages 119-137 it is disclosed that nucleic acids encoding PRO347 had a Δ Ct value of at least 1.0 for a number of primary lung and colon tumors and/or cell lines. At page 120, one delta (Δ) Ct unit is defined as corresponding to 1 PCR cycle or approximately a 2-fold amplification relative to normal, two units corresponds to 4-fold, 3 units to 8-fold amplification and so on. The specification further indicates that Δ Ct is used as a quantitative measurement of the relative number of starting copies of a particular target sequence in a nucleic acid sample when comparing cancer DNA results to normal human DNA results. It is not clear how measurements of hundredths of a PCR cycle can be made, nor what the significance of a difference of 1 or 2 PCR cycles would be.

Results from such experiments are presented in Table 10 on page 137. The only samples shown are from tumors (cell lines?) that appear to be established, LT12-LT21, which on page 122, Table 9 are identified as lung tumor cells. Additionally, the table does not identify which columns are associated with which gene, so it is not shown what results correspond to PRO347, and the numbers do not show any type of recognizable pattern, since many go up and many also go down. Given the paucity of information, the data do not support the implicit conclusion of the specification that PRO347 shows a positive correlation with lung and colon cancer, much less that the levels of PRO347 would be diagnostic of such. Cancerous tissue is known to be aneuploid, that is, having an abnormal number of chromosomes (see Sen, 2000, Curr. Opin. Oncol. 12:82-88). The data presented in the specification were not corrected for aneuploidy. A slight amplification of a gene does not necessarily mean overexpression in a cancer tissue, but

Application/Control Number: 09/944,896 Page 6

Art Unit: 1646

can merely be an indication that the cancer tissue is aneuploid. The preliminary data were not supported by analysis of mRNA or protein expression, for example. Thus, the data do not support the implicit assertion that PRO347 can be used as a cancer diagnostic. Significant further research would have been required of the skilled artisan to determine whether PRO347 is overexpressed in any cancer to the extent that it could be used as a cancer diagnostic, and thus the implicitly asserted utility is not substantial.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- Claims 22-41 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention. Even if the specification were enabling of how to use the PRO347 nucleic acid (or polypeptide), enablement would not be found commensurate in scope with the claims. Even if there were a patentable use for the full-length nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49, variants of 80-99% identity or that hybridize would not be enabled because the specification has not taught one of ordinary skill in the art how to use them.
- 5.2 Claims 22-26 and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1646

The claims are drawn to nucleic acids having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 99% sequence identity with a particular disclosed sequence. The claims do not require that the nucleic acid or encoded polypeptide possess any particular biological activity, nor any particular conserved structure, or other disclosed distinguishing feature. Thus, the claims are drawn to a genus of nucleic acids that is defined only by sequence identity.

To provide evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of compete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the claim is a partial structure in the form of a recitation of percent identity. There is not even identification of any particular portion of the structure that must be conserved. Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of polypeptides, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method

Art Unit: 1646

of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, only isolated nucleic acids encoding polypeptides comprising the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 50, with or without the signal sequence, but not the full breadth of the claims meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. § 112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 35-37 are indefinite because they encompass a nucleic acid molecule which hybridizes to a nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49 or a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 50, which may be under "stringent" conditions. Though the specification on page 30

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/944,896

Art Unit: 1646

describes various hybridization and wash conditions, they are exemplary, and stringent conditions may be low, moderate or high. The claims are considered indefinite, because the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired are not clearly set forth.

Priority Determination

7. As the claimed subject matter is found to lack utility and enablement under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112, first paragraph, respectively, the effective priority date for this application is the instant filing date, 8/31/01.

Rejections over Prior Art Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 22-26 and 35-37 are drawn to nucleic acids having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 99% sequence identity, respectively, with a nucleic acid encoding the extracellular domain (with or without the signal sequence) or full-length protein of SEQ ID NO: 50, or nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49, or nucleic acids that hybridize to those sequences under stringent conditions

Art Unit: 1646

and are at least 10 nucleotides in length. Claims 27-34 are drawn to nucleic acid encoding the extracellular domain (with or without the signal sequence) or full-length protein of SEQ ID NO: 50, or nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49. Claims 38-41 encompass vector and host cell of claim 22 which may be *E. coli*.

- 8.1 Claims 22-26 and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kato et al., WO 01/49728, July 12, 2001. Kato et al. disclose a nucleic acid molecule (SEQ ID NO: 25) that is 91.7% identical to the full-length sequence of SEQ ID NO: 49, 96% identical to the open reading frame (nucleotides 123-1488), 99.3% identical to the nucleic acid sequence encoding the extracellular domain (nucleotides 123-449), and 99.5% identical to the nucleic acid sequence encoding the extracellular domain minus the signal sequence (nucleotides 201-449), and encodes a polypeptide that is 96.8% identical to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 50 of the instant application. Kato et al. also teach vector operably linked to control sequences and host cell that is *E. coli*.
- 8.2 Claims 22-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Botstein et al., WO 99/35170, July 15, 1999. Botstein et al. disclose a nucleic acid molecule (SEQ ID NO: 13) that is 100% identical to the full-length sequence of SEQ ID NO: 49 and that encodes a protein (SEQ ID NO: 14) that is 100% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 50 of the instant application. Botstein et al. also teach vector operably linked to control sequences and host cell that is *E. coli*.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/944,896

Art Unit: 1646

- 8.3 Claims 22-26, 35, 36, 38 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EST Database Accession No. AI792411, July 2, 1999. Accession No. AI792411 discloses a nucleic acid molecule that is 99.7% identical to nucleotides 123-460 of SEQ ID NO: 49 of the instant application. Nucleotides 123-449 of SEQ ID NO: 49 encodes the extracellular domain of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 50 of the instant invention.
- 8.4 Claims 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EST Database Accession No. AI307814, April 8, 1999. Claims 35-37 encompass an isolated nucleic acid that hybridizes to the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49, wherein said hybridization occurs under stringent conditions and wherein the nucleic acid is at least 10 nucleotides in length. No. AI307814 discloses a nucleic acid molecule that is 100% identical to nucleotides 1359-1870 of SEQ ID NO: 49 of the instant invention. No. AI792411 discloses a nucleic acid molecule that is 99.7% identical to nucleotides 123-460 of SEQ ID NO: 49 of the instant invention. These nucleic acids are more than 10 nucleotides in length and would hybridize under stringent conditions to the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49.
- 8.5 Claims 22-27, 31, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holtzman, WO 99/54343, October 28, 1999. Holtzman discloses a nucleic acid molecule (SEQ ID NO: 1) that is 99.4% identical to nucleotides 123-449 of SEQ ID NO: 49 of the instant invention and 99.2% identical to nucleotides 201-449 of the instant invention, and encodes a protein (SEQ ID NO: 2) that is 96.8% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 50 of the instant application, 99% identical to the extracellular domain of SEQ ID NO: 50 and 100%

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/944,896

Art Unit: 1646

identical to the extracellular domain minus the signal peptide of SEQ ID NO: 50. Holtzman also teaches vector operably linked to control sequences and host cell that is *E. coli*.

- 8.6 Claims 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mitcham et al., U.S. Patent No. 6468546, filing date 9/24/99. Mitcham et al. disclose a nucleic acid molecule (SEQ ID NO: 321) that is 99.3% identical to nucleotides 482-1170 of SEQ ID NO: 49 of the instant invention. This nucleic acid is more than 10 nucleotides in length and would hybridize under stringent conditions to the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49.
- 8.7 Claims 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GenEMBL Database Accession No. HSU22027, Jan. 1, 1997. No. HSU22027 discloses a nucleic acid molecule that is 100% identical to nucleotides 1634-1654 of SEQ ID NO: 49 of the instant application. This nucleic acid is more than 10 nucleotides in length and would hybridize under stringent conditions to the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 49.

Conclusion

9. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3312. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Art Unit: 1646

Page 13

Official papers Before Final filed by RightFax should be directed to (703) 872-9306.

Official papers After Final filed by RightFax should be directed to (703) 872-9307.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600